

Attacking the Obesity Epidemic: The Potential Health Benefits of Providing Nutrition Information in Restaurants

Scot Burton, PhD, Elizabeth H. Creyer, PhD, Jeremy Kees, PhD, and Kyle Huggins, MBA

Sixty-four percent of American adults are either overweight or obese, and the obesity epidemic shows few signs of weakening.^{1,2} Although the precise number of deaths attributable to obesity is difficult to estimate, obesity is clearly a major cause of preventable death.^{3,4,5} Not surprisingly, improving the healthfulness of the American diet has become a national health priority.^{4,6} The increasing prevalence of obesity-related diseases has been blamed, in part, on the increased consumption of foods prepared outside the home. Restaurant expenditures have increased consistently in recent decades; consumers now spend more than \$400 billion annually.⁷

Increased consumption of food prepared outside the home and the rising percentage of overweight Americans have made the failure to disclose the nutritional content of restaurant foods a significant public health issue. Whereas the Nutrition Labeling and Education Act increased the availability of nutrition information on packaged foods, foods purchased for immediate consumption are exempt from nutrition disclosure requirements. Typically, fast-food restaurants make nutrition information available to consumers upon request through brochures or on their corporate Web sites. Most dinner house restaurants (i.e., restaurants that offer table service in an informal atmosphere) disclose the nutrient content of their menu items only via the Internet, if at all.

Laws governing the provision of nutrition information in restaurants have been under consideration by Congress. The Menu Education

Objectives. Requiring restaurants to present nutrition information on menus is under consideration as a potential way to slow the increasing prevalence of obesity. Using a survey methodology, we examined how accurately consumers estimate the nutrient content of typical restaurant meals. Based on these results, we then conducted an experiment to address how the provision of nutrition information on menus influences purchase intentions and reported preferences.

Methods. For both the survey and experiment, data were analyzed using analysis of variance techniques.

Results. Survey results showed that levels of calories, fat, and saturated fat in less-healthy restaurant items were significantly underestimated by consumers. Actual fat and saturated fat levels were twice consumers' estimates and calories approached 2 times more than what consumers expected. In the subsequent experiment, for items for which levels of calories, fat, and saturated fat substantially exceeded consumers' expectations, the provision of nutrition information had a significant influence on product attitude, purchase intention, and choice.

Conclusions. Most consumers are unaware of the high levels of calories, fat, saturated fat, and sodium found in many menu items. Provision of nutrition information on restaurant menus could potentially have a positive impact on public health by reducing the consumption of less-healthy foods. (*Am J Public Health*. 2006;96:1669–1675. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2004.054973)

and Labeling Act would require chain restaurants with 20 or more outlets to provide key nutrient information. Legislation has also been proposed in several states (e.g., New York) that would require restaurants with 10 or more national locations to disclose the calorie and nutrient content, such as fat and saturated fat levels, of their foods.⁸ The Food and Drug Administration has initiated preliminary discussions about national standards for the provision of nutrition information in restaurants in response to these legislative initiatives.⁹

We examined the potential public health benefits of providing easily accessible nutrition information in restaurants through 2

studies. In study 1, a survey of consumers was used to examine the accuracy of consumers' expectations of the calorie, fat, saturated fat, and sodium levels of restaurant foods, and sought to determine whether the difference between expected and objective levels varied depending on the calorie and nutrient levels of the items. In study 2, drawing on findings from our survey, we investigated how the provision of nutrition information on a menu affected consumers' attitudes and purchase intentions when objective calorie and nutrient levels were either much higher or about the same as consumers expected.

STUDY 1: SURVEY

Recent legal and regulatory initiatives regarding nutrition information disclosure in restaurants are largely driven by an interest in the negative health consequences associated with the overconsumption of calories and nutrients such as fat, saturated fat, and sodium. This raises an important question: What are the expectations of reasonable consumers regarding

the nutrient levels of typical restaurant fare? Study 1 compared estimated calorie, fat, saturated fat, and sodium levels of foods typically served in dinner house restaurants with objective values determined by laboratory testing.

We proposed that most consumers lack the expertise necessary to estimate calorie and nutrient levels accurately. Because nutrition infor-

mation is difficult, if not impossible, to obtain in most dinner house restaurants, consumers are unlikely to realize that large restaurant portions of higher-calorie and higher-fat menu items (e.g., large bowl of fettuccine Alfredo) may exceed a full day's worth of fat and saturated fat. Therefore, we expected consumers to substantially underestimate calories and fat,

saturated fat, and sodium levels. This is consistent with previous research showing that when presented with large portion sizes of less-healthy foods, professional nutritionists underestimated calorie levels by between 200 and 600 calories.¹⁰ However, we anticipated that consumers' estimates would be more accurate for the food items lower in calories and fat (e.g., grilled chicken breast).

Thus, we hypothesized that (1) the difference between consumers' expectations and objective levels of calories and nutrients would be greater for items with higher levels of calories, fat, and sodium than for items with lower levels of calories, fat, and sodium (hypothesis 1) and that (2) a greater percentage of consumers would underestimate calorie and nutrient levels for menu items with higher levels of calories, fat, and sodium than for items with lower levels (hypothesis 2).

METHODS

Study participants were recruited through a statewide mail research panel and by undergraduate students. Ninety-seven percent of respondents had dined at a restaurant in the past month; the mean dining-out frequency was 14 meals. Almost all (97%) were high-school graduates and 81% had at least some college. The median age of respondents was 39 years, and 60% were female. The total sample size was 193 respondents. Results of tests of hypotheses were consistent across demographic groups, the household research panel respondents, and the sample of adult consumers.

For 9 restaurant entrées, survey participants were given serving size information and brief item descriptions, similar to information that would appear on a menu. For each item, participants estimated calories, fat, saturated fat, and sodium levels. Measures of the objective (actual) calorie and nutrient levels for each of the 9 items were obtained from independent laboratory testing performed previously for dinner house restaurant items.¹¹ Three items shown in Table 1 (e.g., grilled chicken breast) were lower in calories and fat (370 to 640 calories; 6 to 26 g of fat) than other entrées. Five items (e.g., hamburger with fries) were much less healthy (930 to 1660 calories; 63 to 97 g of fat). (Although it can be argued that there are no "unhealthy"

TABLE 1—Accuracy of Consumers' Estimates of Calories, Fat, Saturated Fat, and Sodium for Restaurant Menu Items^{a,b}

	Calories					Fat					Sodium					Saturated Fat				
	Mean Calorie Expectation Estimates	Mean Objective Calorie Levels	Mean Difference Between Expectations and Objective Levels (% of Misestimation) ^c	Percentage Underestimating Calories	Percentage Overestimating Calories	Mean Fat Expectation Estimates, g	Mean Objective Fat Levels, g	Mean Difference Between Expectations and Objective Levels (% of Misestimation)	Percent Underestimating Fat	Percent Overestimating Fat	Mean Sodium Expectation Estimates, mg	Mean Objective Sodium Levels, mg	Mean Difference Between Expectations and Objective Levels (% of Misestimation) ^d	Percentage Underestimating Sodium	Percentage Overestimating Sodium	Mean Saturated Fat Expectation Estimates, g	Mean Objective Saturated Fat Levels, g	Mean Difference Between Expectations and Objective Levels (% of Misestimation)	Percentage Underestimating Saturated Fat	Percentage Overestimating Saturated Fat
Less-healthy items																				
Means	694	1336	-642 (-93)	90 (10)	90 (10)	32	76	-44 (-137)	90 (10)	90 (10)	457	2014	-1557 (-341)	93 (7)	93 (7)	15	30	-15 (-100)	80 (20)	80 (20)
Fettuccine Alfredo	704	1500	-796 (-113)	90 (10)	90 (10)	31	97	-66 (-213)	96 (4)	96 (4)	478	1030	-552 (-115)	88 (12)	88 (12)	13	48	-35 (-269)	95 (5)	95 (5)
Hamburger and fries	777	1240	-463 (-60)	88 (12)	88 (12)	37	67	-30 (-81)	85 (15)	85 (15)	523	1270	-747 (-143)	87 (13)	87 (13)	17	29	-12 (-71)	77 (23)	77 (23)
Chicken fajitas	704	1660	-956 (-136)	96 (4)	96 (4)	31	63	-32 (-103)	82 (18)	82 (18)	451	3660	-3209 (-712)	99 (1)	99 (1)	14	19	-5 (-36)	67 (33)	67 (33)
Chef's salad	452	930	-478 (-106)	90 (10)	90 (10)	21	71	-50 (-238)	97 (3)	97 (3)	328	2510	-2182 (-665)	99 (1)	99 (1)	9	18	-9 (-100)	82 (18)	82 (18)
Patty melt and fries	834	1350	-516 (-62)	84 (16)	84 (16)	41	81	-40 (-98)	88 (12)	88 (12)	504	1600	-1096 (-217)	93 (7)	93 (7)	20	37	-17 (-85)	80 (20)	80 (20)
More-healthy items																				
Means	500	543	-43 (-9)	73 (27)	73 (27)	23	15	8 (35)	37 (63)	37 (63)	333	1180	-847 (-254)	92 (8)	92 (8)	11	6	5 (45)	30 (70)	30 (70)
Chicken breast	479	640	-161 (-34)	78 (22)	78 (22)	22	14	8 (36)	37 (63)	37 (63)	321	820	-499 (-155)	88 (12)	88 (12)	10	5	5 (50)	27 (73)	27 (73)
Pot roast	663	620	43 (6)	65 (35)	65 (35)	33	26	7 (21)	48 (52)	48 (52)	425	1310	-885 (-208)	92 (8)	92 (8)	15	11	4 (27)	47 (53)	47 (53)
Turkey sandwich	358	370	-12 (-3)	75 (25)	75 (25)	15	6	9 (60)	26 (74)	26 (74)	254	1410	-1156 (-455)	96 (4)	96 (4)	7	2	5 (71)	17 (83)	17 (83)
Very unhealthy item: cheese fries with ranch dressing	869	3010	-2141 (-246)	99 (1)	99 (1)	40	217	-177 (-443)	97 (3)	97 (3)	537	4890	-4353 (-811)	99 (1)	99 (1)	21	91	-70 (-333)	93 (7)	93 (7)

^aInformation provided for the 9 restaurant menu items included brief descriptions, size of the item in ounces, and any side dishes, all drawn from Jacobson and Hurley.¹¹

^bOn the basis of a 2000-calorie diet, the recommended daily values are 65 g for fat, 2400 mg for sodium, and 20 g for saturated fat.

^cThis is the difference between consumers' calorie estimates and the objective levels determined by laboratory testing. The percentage (shown in parentheses) is the mean difference divided by consumers' calorie expectations (e.g., -642/694 = -93%).

^dThis is the difference between consumers' sodium estimates and the objective levels determined by laboratory testing. The percentage (shown in parentheses) is the mean difference divided by consumers' sodium expectations (e.g., -1557/457 = -341%).

foods within the context of an entire diet, for the sake of brevity, we use the terms “less” and “more healthful” to refer to menu items higher/lower in calories, fat, and sodium.) The remaining item (cheese fries with ranch dressing) had extremely high calorie and nutrient levels (3010 calories; 217 g of fat) and was termed “extremely unhealthy.”

RESULTS

For each menu item, Table 1 presents consumers' estimated (expected) calorie and nutrient levels, the objective levels, the mean difference between estimated and objective levels, and the percentage of consumers who either overestimated or underestimated calorie and nutrient levels. As shown, less-healthful items were judged to be higher in calories and fat than more-healthful items. This indicates that consumers are at least somewhat aware of nutritional differences among foods.

To test hypothesis 1, individual accuracy scores for calorie and nutrient levels were calculated by subtracting the objective levels from the consumer-estimated levels. These deviation scores were used as the dependent variables in a series of repeated-measures analyses of variance. Differences between consumers' estimates and objective values varied substantially across the more-healthful, less-healthful, and extremely unhealthy items.

For calories, results of the repeated-measures analyses were highly significant ($F=2530$; $P<.001$). On average, participants underestimated the calorie levels of less-healthful items by 642 calories; objective levels (1336 calories) were almost twice as high as consumers' estimates. The calorie content of cheese fries with ranch dressing (3010 calories) was underestimated by more than 2000 calories. Consumers slightly underestimated calories of the more-healthful items. Follow-up contrasts on the difference scores between expected and the objective calorie measures showed significant differences between the more- ($M=-43$) and less- ($M=-642$) healthful items, as well as between the less-healthful items and the extremely unhealthy item (t values=37.4 and 54.8, respectively; $P<.001$ for both comparisons). Thus, as posited, the differences between consumers' calorie estimates and objective levels were far greater for items with less-healthful nutritional content.

Similarly, consumers' expectations of nutrient levels (fat, saturated fat, and sodium) were less consistent with the objective levels for less-healthful items than for more-healthful items. Results from repeated-measures analyses of variance for each nutrient using the difference between consumers' estimates and objective values as the dependent variable resulted in significant findings for all 3 nutrients (F values exceeded 700 for all tests, $P<.001$).

For the less-healthful items, consumers underestimated fat and saturated fat levels by 44 g and 15 g, respectively—amounts that were more than 60% of the recommended daily values. Estimated fat and saturated fat levels for the more-healthful items were more consistent with objective levels (and even slightly higher). Consumers underestimated sodium levels for the more-healthful items by 847 mg, whereas they underestimated the amount of sodium in the less-healthful and extremely unhealthy items by 1557 mg and 4353 mg, respectively. For all nutrients, follow-up contrasts showed significant differences between the more-/less-healthful and less-/extremely unhealthy groups.

To address differences in percentages of consumers underestimating calorie and nutrient levels, cross-tabulation analyses were performed. As shown in Table 1, 90%, 99%, and 73% of respondents underestimated calories for the less-healthful, very unhealthy, and more-healthful items, respectively ($\chi^2=102.2$; $P<.001$). For fat, 90%, 97%, and 37% of respondents underestimated levels for the less-healthful, very unhealthy, and more-healthful items, respectively ($\chi^2=509.1$; $P<.001$). The pattern of findings was similar for saturated fat ($\chi^2=433.6$; $P<.001$). Although most consumers underestimated sodium levels of all the items, differences were significant ($\chi^2=13.3$; $P<.01$). These findings support hypothesis 2.

STUDY 2: EXPERIMENT

Given that consumers appear unaware of the high levels of calories, fat, and sodium found in many foods typically served in restaurants, the purpose of study 2 was to examine the potential public health benefits associated with the provision of nutrition information in restaurants. Specifically, we examined how providing nutrition information influenced consumers' attitudes and purchase intentions for restaurant menu items. For each menu entrée, consumers were also asked to estimate how likely they were to gain weight and develop heart disease if that food item was included as a regular part of their diet. These risk perceptions were expected to be influenced by the provision of nutrition information.

Classic expectancy disconfirmation theory can be used to predict consumers' responses

when accurate calorie and nutrient information are disclosed.^{12,13} According to this theory, consumers form initial expectations about specific product attributes. If the actual information or subsequent experience does not meet expectations, then attribute dissatisfaction will occur, which creates negative attitudes.¹² If actual product information exceeds expectations, positive attitudes result.

Study 1 showed that calories, fat, and sodium in less-healthful restaurant menu items are much higher than consumers expect. However, the objective nutrient levels of more-healthful items were relatively consistent or slightly better than what consumers expected. Therefore, for less-healthful items, the provision of nutrition information should disconfirm consumers' nutrition-related expectations resulting

in unfavorable attitudes and decreased purchase likelihoods. Consumers' perceptions regarding the likelihood of weight gain and heart disease risk should also be higher.¹⁴ Expectancy disconfirmation theory thus suggests that the discrepancy between expected and objective nutrient levels should result in an interaction between the provision of nutrition information and the healthfulness of the menu item. Negative disconfirmation for less-healthful items is expected to lead to decreases in measures of attitudes and purchase intentions and to increase choice preference for more-healthful items. In addition, these effects should generally be greater when both the number of calories and the nutrient levels are provided, compared with when calorie information (a single attribute) is presented alone.

Thus, we hypothesized that (1) when objective nutrition information is less favorable than consumers expect, providing nutrition information would have a greater negative influence on product attitudes and purchase intentions and a greater positive influence on perceived likelihood of weight gain and heart disease (hypothesis 3a); (2) when objective nutrition information is less favorable than consumers expect, providing *both* calorie and nutrient information would have the strongest influence (hypothesis 3b); and (3) providing nutrition information on menus would decrease choice preference for items with objective nutrition information that is less favorable than consumers expect and increase choice preference for items more consistent with expectations (hypothesis 4).

METHODS

Participants

Participants in a geographically dispersed area throughout a single south-central state responded to a mail survey. Participants were mailed packets that included 1 of the randomly assigned 6 menu stimuli, a survey including measures of interest, and a stamped self-return envelope. Completed surveys were returned by 241 respondents, a response rate of 50%. Almost all respondents were high-school graduates (97%), 63% were female, and ages ranged from 23 to 85 years. For the 6 groups in the design, cell sizes ranged from 38 to 42 participants.

Design

Study 2 had a 3 (nutrition information) \times 2 (daily value information) \times 4 (menu item) mixed experimental design. The nutrition information and daily value manipulations are between-subjects factors and menu item is a repeated-measure factor. Nutrient information conditions are: (1) calories, fat, saturated/trans fats, and sodium levels presented, (2) only calorie information presented, and (3) no nutrition information presented (status quo in most restaurants). (Note that most proposed legislation would require calorie-plus-nutrient information for restaurants that use menus, but only calorie information for fast-food restaurants with menu boards.) The daily value information disclosure is (1) daily value

TABLE 2—Means (SD) for Purchase Intentions and Product Evaluation–Dependent Variables for Nutrition Information–Provision Conditions

Dependent Measures for Nutrition Information–Provision Conditions	Items Less Consistent With Nutrition Expectations		Items More Consistent With Nutrition Expectations	
	Chef's Salad	Hamburger and French Fries	Grilled Chicken Breast and Baked Potato	Turkey Sandwich
Product attitude				
No nutrition information	5.37 (1.8)	4.46 (1.8)	5.66 (1.4)	5.25 (1.6)
Calories only	5.18 (1.6)	4.16 (1.9)	5.80 (1.3)	6.02 (1.4)
Calories and nutrients	4.38 (1.9)	3.72 (2.0)	5.52 (1.5)	5.64 (1.5)
Purchase intentions				
No nutrition information	4.92 (1.7)	4.44 (2.1)	5.59 (1.6)	4.86 (1.9)
Calories only	4.68 (1.7)	3.80 (2.1)	5.58 (1.6)	5.86 (1.5)
Calories and nutrients	3.97 (2.0)	3.43 (2.1)	5.55 (1.7)	5.48 (1.7)
Perceived likelihood of weight gain				
No nutrition information	3.89 (2.0)	7.24 (1.9)	4.32 (1.9)	3.75 (2.0)
Calories only	4.71 (2.3)	7.80 (1.8)	4.43 (1.8)	2.97 (1.7)
Calories and nutrients	5.42 (2.3)	7.53 (1.8)	4.80 (1.7)	3.72 (1.8)
Perceived likelihood of heart disease				
No nutrition information	4.05 (1.8)	7.17 (1.6)	3.97 (1.7)	3.92 (1.9)
Calories only	4.59 (2.1)	7.62 (1.6)	3.86 (1.8)	3.10 (2.0)
Calories and nutrients	5.42 (2.1)	7.41 (1.5)	4.23 (1.6)	3.70 (1.9)

recommendations for fat (65 g), saturated fat (20 g), and sodium (2400 mg) based on a 2000-calorie diet, and (2) a control condition without daily values.^{15,16} The nutrition information presented was based on laboratory tests of actual restaurant items. The provision of daily value information had no influence on the dependent measures and is therefore excluded from further discussion.

Four of the items included on the menu were deluxe hamburger with fries, chef's salad, chicken breast with baked potato, and turkey sandwich. As shown in Table 1, for the first 2 items, objective levels of calories, fat, and saturated fat exceeded consumers' expectations. For the latter 2, consumers' expectations were more consistent with objective levels. All information and manipulations were

TABLE 3—Effects on Purchase Intention and Product Evaluation–Dependent Variables

	MANOVA Results		Univariate F Values			
	Wilks λ	F	Product Attitude	Purchase Likelihood	Weight Gain Perceptions	Heart Disease Perceptions
Nutrition information	0.93	1.9	4.2*	2.9	4.2*	3.5*
Daily value information	0.98	1.2	2.9	0.9	0.0	0.7
Item type	0.40	60.0**	56.6**	47.9**	218.4**	231.8**
Nutrition information \times Item type	0.91	2.5**	4.0**	5.2**	4.8**	4.6**
Nutrition information \times Daily value information	0.94	1.8	2.2	1.4	0.4	0.1
Daily value information \times Item type	0.98	1.1	1.4	2.4	0.3	1.2
Nutrition information \times Item type \times Daily value information	0.97	0.9	2.0	1.1	0.9	0.9

Note: MANOVA = multivariate analysis of variance.

* $P < .05$; ** $P < .01$.

presented on a 4-color mock restaurant menu stimulus. Respondents were instructed to answer questions regarding the menu items; nutrition was not mentioned.


Measures

For each menu item, overall attitude toward the product and purchase intention were assessed using multi-item 7-point scales (all coefficient α 's greater than 0.90). To assess consumers' risk perceptions (likelihood of weight gain and heart disease perceptions), 9-point, single-item measures drawn from previous research were employed.^{14,17} (Specific items used for measures are available as a data supplement to the online article.) Items were recoded so that higher values indicated more-favorable attitudes and higher perceived risk. A single measure of choice among the 4 items was used ("If you had to choose one of the products described on the mock menu, which one product would you select?").

RESULTS

To test predictions, a doubly multivariate analysis was performed with SPSS 11.5 general linear models (SPSS Inc, Chicago, Ill). Dependent variable means are shown in Table 2 and multivariate and univariate results are shown in Table 3. There are main effects of nutrition information provision and menu item type for the dependent variables as hypothesized and a multivariate interaction between information provision and menu item ($P < .01$). Univariate interactions are significant for each of the 4 dependent variables. Plots of means relevant to interactions are shown in Figure 1. For the items inconsistent with nutrition expectations (hamburger and chef's salad), purchase intention means followed the predicted pattern. For the hamburger platter, follow-up contrasts showed that relative to the control ($M = 4.44$), there were significant decreases in purchase intentions for both the calories-plus-nutrients ($M = 3.43$; $t = -2.93$; $P < .01$) and calories-only ($M = 3.80$; $t = -1.89$; $P < .05$; 1-tailed test) conditions. The difference between the calorie-only and calorie-plus-nutrients conditions was not significant.

For the chef's salad, contrasts show that compared with the no-information control condition ($M = 4.92$), there was not a significant



Note. For the hamburger platter and chef's salad, consumers' calorie and nutrient expectations (assessed in study 1) generally were less consistent with objective levels than were the chicken breast dinner and turkey sandwich items.

FIGURE 1—Interaction between nutrition information provided and menu food item for purchase intentions (a), weight gain (b), and heart disease (c).

decrease in purchase intentions from the addition of calorie information ($M=4.68$). However, purchase intentions for the calories-plus-nutrient information condition ($M=3.97$) were significantly lower than both the control ($t=-3.18$; $P<.01$) and the calorie-only ($t=-2.41$; $P<.02$) conditions. This pattern is consistent with the nutritional composition of the chef's salad; it contains a moderate number of calories, but substantially exceeds the levels of fat and saturated fat expected by consumers. Thus, hypotheses 3a and 3b were supported.

With the provision of nutrition information, purchase intentions for the expectation-consistent items showed no effect in 1 case and a positive effect in the other case. Specifically, the purchase intentions means were flat for the chicken dinner (ranging between 5.55 and 5.59). For the turkey sandwich, relative to the control ($M=4.86$), the addition of calorie information ($M=5.86$; $t=3.68$; $P<.01$) and calorie-plus-nutrient information ($M=5.48$; $t=2.22$; $P<.05$) resulted in stronger purchase intentions.

Plots for the perceived likelihood of gaining weight and developing heart disease are shown in Figure 1b and 1c. For both variables, univariate analyses of variance were significant for the chef's salad ($P<.01$) and turkey sandwich ($P<.05$), but not significant for the hamburger platter or chicken dinner ($P>.15$). For the chef's salad, the calories-plus-nutrients condition led to higher perceived likelihoods of heart disease and weight gain, relative to the calories-only condition ($t=2.52$ and 1.87 , respectively; $P<.05$). For the turkey sandwich, calories alone decreased both perceived likelihoods ($P<.05$), but the full information did not differ relative to the control. (Presumably, the higher sodium levels revealed in the full-information condition counterbalanced the positive effects of a lower-than-anticipated calorie level.) The pattern of means is particularly interesting for heart disease. With no information, the means for all items except the hamburger platter were almost identical but the calorie and nutrient information widened perceived

differences among these items, and the chef's salad mean increased significantly ($P<.01$). These findings also supported Hypotheses 3a and 3b.

Consumers' item choices were examined across the 3 levels of nutrition information. Results were significant ($\chi^2=15.6$; $df=6$; $P<.02$). When calorie-plus-nutrient information was presented, the percentage of consumers choosing the turkey sandwich (which generally met or exceeded nutrition expectations) increased from 11% to 21%, and it decreased selection of items with higher levels of calories and fat than expected. The share of the chicken dinner (i.e., nutrient levels consistent with expectations) remained constant. In tests comparing the 2 items with higher calories and fat (i.e., items less consistent with expectations) to the 2 more-healthful items, selection of the higher-calorie, higher-fat items decreased from 37% to 24% ($P<.05$) when calorie and nutrition information were provided. These findings supported hypothesis 4.

DISCUSSION

As a response to the increased prevalence of overweight and obesity, which has been linked with the greater consumption of foods prepared outside the home,¹⁸ legislation has been proposed at both federal and state levels that would require the provision of nutrition information for restaurant food items. Study 1 results showed that, for a number of items, consumers vastly underestimated calories, fat, saturated fat, and sodium levels. On average, less-healthful items were underestimated by more than 600 calories and between one third to a full day's worth of the recommended values for fat and saturated fat. If diners consumed 600 more calories than they realized for just 1 restaurant meal per week, an extra 30 000 calories a year would be added to their diets. These unaccounted calories could cause a weight gain of approximately 9 pounds annually, holding all other factors constant. Over several years' time, this degree of misestimation could cause significant weight gain. Given substantial differences between expected and objective values,

these findings indicate that inclusion of nutrition information on menus offers informational benefits to consumers.

Study 2 findings showed that the addition of calorie and nutrient information for dinner house items influenced attitudes, intentions, and choices. Purchase intention and choice decreased for less-healthful items that were worse than expected (hamburger platter and chef's salad), whereas they remained constant or increased slightly for items more consistent with expectations. The largest changes occurred for the chef's salad, which had the largest deviations from consumer expectations. In the absence of nutrition information, the turkey, chicken, and chef's salad items were indistinguishable in terms of the perceived likelihood of heart disease. However, when calorie and nutrient information were provided, there was a larger difference in disease-risk perceptions.

Our findings have significant public health implications and provide support to the notion that new restaurant-oriented nutrition information initiatives may be warranted. However, circumstances unique to the restaurant industry, such as customized orders and

portion size differences, will make provision of exact nutrition information for every single meal and every consumer difficult. Legislation would probably need to apply to items "as offered for sale," and nutrition disclosure would not include customized orders or daily specials.

Because our results showed that consumers substantially underestimated calorie levels for less-healthful dinner house items and that preference for the less-healthful items diminished when nutrition information was disclosed, provision of nutrition information for chain restaurants' standard menu items would appear helpful. We also recognize that further research may identify additional nutrition formats that may be equally or more effective at conveying nutrition information, and that combining possible social marketing initiatives with future nutrition disclosure research seems warranted. In sum, these findings suggest that the provision of easily accessible nutrition information in restaurants may provide significant public health benefits by making it easier for consumers to make more healthful food choices. ■

About the Authors

Scot Burton, Elizabeth Creyer, and Kyle Huggins are with the Department of Marketing and Logistics, Sam M. Walton College of Business, University of Arkansas, Fayetteville. Jeremy Kees is with the Marketing Department, Villanova University, Philadelphia, Pa.

Requests for reprints should be sent to Scot Burton, Department of Marketing and Logistics, Sam M. Walton College of Business, University of Arkansas, Fayetteville, AR 72701 (e-mail: sburton@walton.uark.edu).

This article was accepted November 18, 2005.

Contributors


S. Burton and E.H. Creyer originated the design of the studies and formulated the hypotheses. S. Burton wrote the first draft of the article and performed initial analyses. J. Kees and K. Huggins worked on data analyses and data collection. All authors participated in critical review and revision of the article based on reviewers' recommendations.

Human Participant Protection

This study was approved by the institutional review board of the University of Arkansas. Informed consent was obtained from study participants.

References

1. Bassett MT, Perl S. Obesity: the public health challenge of our time. *Am J Public Health*. 2004;94:1477.
2. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *Overweight and Obesity: Obesity Trends*. Available at: <http://www.cdc.gov/nccdphp/dnpa/obesity/trend/index.htm>. Accessed March 14, 2005.
3. Flegal KM, Williamson DF, Pamuk ER, Rosenberg HM. Estimating deaths attributable to obesity in the United States. *Am J Public Health*. 2004;94:1486–1489.
4. *The Surgeon General's Call to Action to Prevent and Decrease Overweight and Obesity*. Rockville, Md: Office of the Surgeon General; 2001. Available at: <http://www.surgeongeneral.gov/topics/obesity/>. Accessed August 30, 2004.
5. Flegal KM, Graubard BI, Williamson DF. Methods of calculating deaths attributable to obesity. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2004;160:331–338.
6. Gerberding JL, Marks JS. Making America fit and trim—steps big and small. *Am J Public Health*. 2004;94:1478–1479.
7. National Restaurant Association. *Frequently Asked Questions, 2005*. Available at: <http://www.restaurant.org/aboutus/faqs.cfm>. Accessed March 14, 2005.
8. Connolly C. Public policy targeting obesity. *Washington Post*. August 6, 2003;A1.
9. Mathews AW, Leung S. FDA considers nutrition labels in restaurants. *Wall Street Journal*. October 23, 2003;B1.
10. Backstrand J, Wootan MG, Young LR, Hurley J. *Fat Chance*. Washington, DC: Center for Science in the Public Interest; 1997.
11. Jacobson MF, Hurley J. *Restaurant Confidential*. New York, NY: Workman Publishing; 2002.
12. van Raaij WF. The formation and use of expectations in consumer decision making. In: Robertson TS, Kassarian HH, eds. *Handbook of Consumer Behavior*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall; 1991:401–418.
13. Tolman EC. *Purposive Behavior in Animals and Men*. New York, NY: Appleton-Century-Cross; 1932.
14. Teisl M, Levy AS, Derby B. The effects of education and information source on consumer awareness of diet-disease relationships. *J Public Policy Marketing*. 1999;18:197–207.
15. Levy AS, Fein SB, Schucker RE. Performance characteristics of seven nutrition label formats. *J Public Policy Marketing*. 1996;15:1–15.
16. Food labeling regulations implementing the Nutritional Labeling and Education Act of 1990. *Federal Register*. 1993;58(3):2066–2190.
17. Kozup JC, Creyer EH, Burton S. Making healthful food choices: The influence of health claims and nutrition information on consumers' evaluations of packaged food products and restaurant menu items. *J Marketing*. 2003;67:19–34.
18. Lin, BH, Frazão E, Guthrie J. *Away-From-Home Foods Increasingly Important to Quality of American Diet*. Economic Research Service, US Dept of Agriculture; 1999. Agriculture Information Bulletin No. 749.



ISBN 0-87553-030-3
softcover ■ 2004

\$25.00 APHA Members
\$35.95 Nonmembers
plus shipping and handling

Race and Research Perspectives on Minority Participation in Health Studies

Edited by Bettina Beech, DrPH, MPH, and Maurine Goodman, MA, MPH

Race and Research: Perspectives on Minority Participation in Health Studies is a teaching text and resource guide for students, health professionals, public health researchers, and the general public that extends the discussion of environmental factors that influence ethnic minority participation in health studies. This book examines the lack of minority participation in health studies from social, historical, and scientific perspectives.

This book is divided into three main sections: 1) The Meaning of Race, Culture and Ethnicity in Research; 2) Health Studies and Ethnic Minority Populations and 3) The Impact of Revolutionary Changes in Medicine and Health Care on Minority Participation in Health Studies.

ORDER TODAY!



American Public Health Association

Publication Sales

Web: www.apha.org

E-mail: APHA@pbd.com

Tel: 888-320-APHA

FAX: 888-361-APHA

RR12J1

This article has been cited by:

1. Cathleen Jones. 2018. Restaurant Food Choices by Moms: An Exploratory Study. *Journal of Foodservice Business Research* **21**:4, 377-393. [[Crossref](#)]
2. Alyssa J. Moran, Christina A. Roberto. 2018. Health Warning Labels Correct Parents' Misperceptions About Sugary Drink Options. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine* . [[Crossref](#)]
3. Christophe Dubois, Hind Gaigi, Marlène Perignon, Matthieu Maillot, Nicole Darmon. 2018. Co-construction et évaluation d'un programme de promotion de la santé pour conjuguer nutrition et budget au quotidien : les ateliers Opticourses. *Cahiers de Nutrition et de Diététique* **53**:3, 161-170. [[Crossref](#)]
4. Jessica Lynne Bachman, Danielle Arigo. 2018. Reported Influences on Restaurant-Type Food Selection Decision Making in a Grocery Store Chain. *Journal of Nutrition Education and Behavior* **50**:6, 555-563. [[Crossref](#)]
5. Christopher L. Newman, Scot Burton, J. Craig Andrews, Richard G. Netemeyer, Jeremy Kees. 2018. Marketers' use of alternative front-of-package nutrition symbols: An examination of effects on product evaluations. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science* **46**:3, 453-476. [[Crossref](#)]
6. Lyndal Wellard-Cole, David Goldsbury, Michelle Havill, Clare Hughes, Wendy L Watson, Elizabeth K Dunford, Kathy Chapman. 2018. Monitoring the changes to the nutrient composition of fast foods following the introduction of menu labelling in New South Wales, Australia: an observational study. *Public Health Nutrition* **21**:6, 1194-1199. [[Crossref](#)]
7. Ruitong Wang, Jura Liaukonyte, Harry M. Kaiser. 2018. Does Advertising Content Matter? Impacts of Healthy Eating and Anti-Obesity Advertising on Willingness to Pay by Consumer Body Mass Index. *Agricultural and Resource Economics Review* **47**:01, 1-31. [[Crossref](#)]
8. Christopher Berry, Scot Burton, Elizabeth Howlett. 2018. The Effects of Voluntary Versus Mandatory Menu Calorie Labeling On Consumers' Retailer-Related Responses. *Journal of Retailing* **94**:1, 73-88. [[Crossref](#)]
9. Natalina Zlatevska, Nico Neumann, Chris Dubelaar. 2018. Mandatory Calorie Disclosure: A Comprehensive Analysis of Its Effect on Consumers and Retailers. *Journal of Retailing* **94**:1, 89-101. [[Crossref](#)]
10. Mohammed Ahmad Alsaggaf, Abraham Althonayan. 2018. An empirical investigation of customer intentions influenced by service quality using the mediation of emotional and cognitive responses. *Journal of Enterprise Information Management* **31**:1, 194-223. [[Crossref](#)]
11. Geoffrey Fisher. 2018. Nutrition labeling reduces valuations of food through multiple health and taste channels. *Appetite* **120**, 500-504. [[Crossref](#)]
12. Kiwon Lee, Youngmi Lee. 2018. Parents' meal choices for their children at fast food and family restaurants with different menu labeling presentations. *Nutrition Research and Practice* **12**:3, 243. [[Crossref](#)]
13. Sunhee (Sunny) Seo, Hyunjeong Lee. 2017. What makes restaurateurs adopt healthy restaurant initiatives?. *British Food Journal* **119**:12, 2583-2596. [[Crossref](#)]
14. Hadia Radwan, Eman M. Faroukh, Reyad Shaker Obaid. 2017. Menu labeling implementation in dine-in restaurants: the Public's knowledge, attitude and practices. *Archives of Public Health* **75**:1. . [[Crossref](#)]
15. Tara Brown, Loren Vanderlinden, Anne Birks, Dia Mamatis, Jennifer Levy, Tina Sahay. 2017. Bringing Menu Labelling to Independent Restaurants: Findings from a Voluntary Pilot Project in Toronto. *Canadian Journal of Dietetic Practice and Research* **78**:4, 177-181. [[Crossref](#)]
16. Ada Lo, Brian King, Murray Mackenzie. 2017. Restaurant Customers' Attitude toward Sustainability and Nutritional Menu Labels. *Journal of Hospitality Marketing & Management* **26**:8, 846-867. [[Crossref](#)]
17. Andrew Breck, Tod Mijanovich, Beth C. Weitzman, Brian Elbel. 2017. The Current Limits of Calorie Labeling and the Potential for Population Health Impact. *Journal of Public Policy & Marketing* **36**:2, 227-235. [[Crossref](#)]
18. Eojina Kim, Sunny Ham. 2017. Development and validation of a measure of consumer behaviors toward nutritional labeling in restaurants. *Journal of Foodservice Business Research* **20**:5, 595-610. [[Crossref](#)]
19. Thaisa Cantu-Jungles, Lacey McCormack, James Slaven, Maribeth Slebodnik, Heather Eicher-Miller. 2017. A Meta-Analysis to Determine the Impact of Restaurant Menu Labeling on Calories and Nutrients (Ordered or Consumed) in U.S. Adults. *Nutrients* **9**:10, 1088. [[Crossref](#)]
20. Alyssa J. Moran, Maricelle Ramirez, Jason P. Block. 2017. Consumer underestimation of sodium in fast food restaurant meals: Results from a cross-sectional observational study. *Appetite* **113**, 155-161. [[Crossref](#)]
21. Pierrick Gomez, Carolina O. C. Werle, Olivier Corneille. 2017. The pitfall of nutrition facts label fluency: easier-to-process nutrition information enhances purchase intentions for unhealthy food products. *Marketing Letters* **28**:1, 15-27. [[Crossref](#)]

22. Judy Harris, Veronica L. Thomas. 2017. The Influence of Bundling and Caloric Knowledge on Calories Ordered and Purchase Intent. *Journal of Consumer Affairs* 51:1, 113-132. [[Crossref](#)]
23. Meliyanni Johar, Shiko Maruyama, Jeffrey Truong. 2017. The contribution of Western fast food to fast-growing body mass in China. *Applied Economics* 49:8, 797-811. [[Crossref](#)]
24. Viachaslau Filimonau, Marija Krivcova. 2017. Restaurant menu design and more responsible consumer food choice: An exploratory study of managerial perceptions. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 143, 516-527. [[Crossref](#)]
25. Yaguang Zheng, Juliet Mancino, Lora E. Burke, Karen Glanz. Current Theoretical Bases for Nutrition Intervention and Their Uses 185-201. [[Crossref](#)]
26. Jinkyung Choi. 2017. The effectiveness of nutritional information on foodservice companies' corporate social responsibility. *Asia Pacific Business Review* 23:1, 44-62. [[Crossref](#)]
27. Christine M. White, Heather G. Lillico, Lana Vanderlee, David Hammond. 2016. A voluntary nutrition labeling program in restaurants: Consumer awareness, use of nutrition information, and food selection. *Preventive Medicine Reports* 4, 474-480. [[Crossref](#)]
28. Wided Batat, Valerie Manna, Emre Ulusoy, Paula C. Peter, Ebru Ulusoy, Handan Vicdan, Soonkwan Hong. 2016. New paths in researching "alternative" consumption and well-being in marketing: alternative food consumption / Alternative food consumption: What is "alternative"? / Rethinking "literacy" in the adoption of AFC / Social class dynamics in AFC. *Marketing Theory* 16:4, 561-561. [[Crossref](#)]
29. Sophie Reale, Stuart W. Flint. 2016. Menu labelling and food choice in obese adults: a feasibility study. *BMC Obesity* 3:1. . [[Crossref](#)]
30. Morgan S. Lee, Joel Kevin Thompson. 2016. Exploring enhanced menu labels' influence on fast food selections and exercise-related attitudes, perceptions, and intentions. *Appetite* 105, 416-422. [[Crossref](#)]
31. Satoru Shimokawa. 2016. Why can calorie posting be apparently ineffective? The roles of two conflicting learning effects. *Food Policy* 64, 107-120. [[Crossref](#)]
32. Kiwon Lee, Martha Conklin, Peter Bordi, David Cranage. 2016. Restaurants' healthy eating initiatives for children increase parents' perceptions of CSR, empowerment, and visit intentions. *International Journal of Hospitality Management* 59, 60-71. [[Crossref](#)]
33. James N. Salley, Adam W. Hoover, Michael L. Wilson, Eric R. Muth. 2016. Comparison between Human and Bite-Based Methods of Estimating Caloric Intake. *Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics* 116:10, 1568-1577. [[Crossref](#)]
34. Yujie (Jack) Wei, Beheruz N. Sethna. 2016. Effects of cognitive overweight status on food choice. *Health Marketing Quarterly* 33:4, 386-401. [[Crossref](#)]
35. Eric M. VanEpps, Julie S. Downs, George Loewenstein. 2016. Calorie Label Formats: Using Numeric and Traffic Light Calorie Labels to Reduce Lunch Calories. *Journal of Public Policy & Marketing* 35:1, 26-36. [[Crossref](#)]
36. Linda Thunström, Jonas Nordström, Jason F. Shogren, Mariah Ehmke, Klaas van't Veld. 2016. Strategic self-ignorance. *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty* 52:2, 117-136. [[Crossref](#)]
37. Alexandra E Evans, Samantha R Weiss, Kerry J Meath, Sherman Chow, Elizabeth A Vandewater, Roberta B Ness. 2016. Adolescents' awareness and use of menu labels in eating establishments: results from a focus group study. *Public Health Nutrition* 19:05, 830-840. [[Crossref](#)]
38. Jin Wang, Rachel Engler-Stringer, Nazeem Muhajarine. 2016. Assessing the Consumer Food Environment in Restaurants by Neighbourhood Distress Level across Saskatoon, Saskatchewan. *Canadian Journal of Dietetic Practice and Research* 77:1, 9-16. [[Crossref](#)]
39. Kimberly A. Stran, Linda L. Knol, Lori W. Turner, Kimberly Severt, Debra M. McCallum, Jeannine C. Lawrence. 2016. College Students Must Overcome Barriers to Use Calorie Labels in Fast-Food Restaurants. *Journal of Nutrition Education and Behavior* 48:2, 122-130.e1. [[Crossref](#)]
40. Wendy L. Watson, Sarah Piazza, Lyndal Wellard, Clare Hughes, Kathy Chapman. 2016. Energy and nutrient composition of menu items at Australian coffee chains. *Nutrition & Dietetics* 73:1, 81-87. [[Crossref](#)]
41. Jung-Eun Kong, Jin-Ah Moon, Jong-Wook Kim, Jeeyoung Yeon. 2015. A Study on the Association among Satisfaction of Nutrition Labeling, Change of Purchase Behaviors, and Dietary Life Care, based on the Awareness of Nutrition Labeling at Expressway Rest Areas. *The Korean Journal of Food And Nutrition* 28:6, 995-1003. [[Crossref](#)]
42. Elise Carboneau, Julie Perron, Vicky Drapeau, Benoît Lamarche, Éric Doucet, Sonia Pomerleau, Véronique Provencher. 2015. Impact of nutritional labelling on 10-d energy intake, appetite perceptions and attitudes towards food. *British Journal of Nutrition* 114:12, 2138-2147. [[Crossref](#)]

43. Lyndal Wellard, Michelle Havill, Clare Hughes, Wendy L. Watson, Kathy Chapman. 2015. The availability and accessibility of nutrition information in fast food outlets in five states post-menu labelling legislation in New South Wales. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health* **39**:6, 546-549. [[Crossref](#)]
44. Sara N. Bleich, Julia A. Wolfson, Marian P. Jarlenski, Jason P. Block. 2015. Restaurants With Calories Displayed On Menus Had Lower Calorie Counts Compared To Restaurants Without Such Labels. *Health Affairs* **34**:11, 1877-1884. [[Crossref](#)]
45. Hayden Stewart, Jeffrey Hyman, Diansheng Dong. 2015. Menu Labeling Fills the Gaps in Consumers' Knowledge of the Calorie Content of Restaurant Foods. *Agribusiness* **31**:4, 491-506. [[Crossref](#)]
46. Christine Ye, J. Joseph Cronin, John Peloza. 2015. The Role of Corporate Social Responsibility in Consumer Evaluation of Nutrition Information Disclosure by Retail Restaurants. *Journal of Business Ethics* **130**:2, 313-326. [[Crossref](#)]
47. Ana Carolina Fernandes, Renata Carvalho de Oliveira, Vanessa Mello Rodrigues, Giovanna Medeiros Rataichesk Fiates, Rossana Pacheco da Costa Proença. 2015. Perceptions of university students regarding calories, food healthiness, and the importance of calorie information in menu labelling. *Appetite* **91**, 173-178. [[Crossref](#)]
48. Sarah E. Domoff, Allison Kiefner-Burmeister, Debra A. Hoffmann, Dara Musher-Eizenman. 2015. Maternal Feeding Goals and Restaurant Menu Choices for Young Children. *Childhood Obesity* **11**:4, 484-488. [[Crossref](#)]
49. Julie S. Downs, Jessica Wisdom, George Loewenstein. 2015. Helping Consumers Use Nutrition Information: Effects of Format and Presentation. *American Journal of Health Economics* **1**:3, 326-344. [[Crossref](#)]
50. Yujie Wei, Mary Rickard, Cheryl Brown. 2015. Effects of Consumer Weight Level and Advertising Appeals on Consumer Attitude Toward Food and Advertisements. *Journal of Food Products Marketing* **21**:4, 426-441. [[Crossref](#)]
51. Jessie E. Green, Alan G. Brown, Punam Ohri-Vachaspati. 2015. Sociodemographic Disparities among Fast-Food Restaurant Customers Who Notice and Use Calorie Menu Labels. *Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics* **115**:7, 1093-1101. [[Crossref](#)]
52. Pierrick Gomez, Carlos J. Torelli. 2015. It's not just numbers: Cultural identities influence how nutrition information influences the valuation of foods. *Journal of Consumer Psychology* **25**:3, 404-415. [[Crossref](#)]
53. Michael W. Long, Deirdre K. Tobias, Angie L. Cradock, Holly Batchelder, Steven L. Gortmaker. 2015. Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of the Impact of Restaurant Menu Calorie Labeling. *American Journal of Public Health* **105**:5, e11-e24. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)] [[PDF Plus](#)] [[Supplemental Material](#)]
54. Ashlei James, Beverley Adams-Huet, Meena Shah. 2015. Menu Labels Displaying the Kilocalorie Content or the Exercise Equivalent: Effects on Energy Ordered and Consumed in Young Adults. *American Journal of Health Promotion* **29**:5, 294-302. [[Crossref](#)]
55. Kiwon Lee, Youngmi Lee, Sooyoun Kwon. 2015. How nutrition information frame affects parents' perceptions of restaurants: The moderating role of information credibility. *International Journal of Hospitality Management* **46**, 112-119. [[Crossref](#)]
56. Wan Yang, Lydia Hanks, Scott J. Smith, H. G. Parsa. 2015. FDA Ruling and Nutritionally Focused Menus—Part II: Consumer Effort Versus Nutritional Accuracy in Restaurant Menus. *Journal of Foodservice Business Research* **18**:2, 93-110. [[Crossref](#)]
57. Victoria Zigmont, Sandra Minor Bulmer. 2015. The Impact of Caloric Information on College Student's Fast Food Purchasing Intentions. *American Journal of Health Education* **46**:2, 70-78. [[Crossref](#)]
58. Betina Piqueras-Fiszman, Charles Spence. 2015. Sensory expectations based on product-extrinsic food cues: An interdisciplinary review of the empirical evidence and theoretical accounts. *Food Quality and Preference* **40**, 165-179. [[Crossref](#)]
59. Michelle L. Abel, Katherine Lee, Ralph Loglisci, Allison Righter, Thomas J. Hipper, Lawrence J. Cheskin. 2015. Consumer Understanding of Calorie Labeling. *Health Promotion Practice* **16**:2, 236-243. [[Crossref](#)]
60. Peggy J Liu, James R Bettman, Arianna R Uhalde, Peter A Ubel. 2015. 'How many calories are in my burrito?' Improving consumers' understanding of energy (calorie) range information. *Public Health Nutrition* **18**:01, 15-24. [[Crossref](#)]
61. Monica Hunsberger, Paul McGinnis, Jamie Smith, Beth Ann Beamer, Jean O'Malley. 2015. Calorie Labeling in a Rural Middle School Influences Food Selection: Findings from Community-Based Participatory Research. *Journal of Obesity* **2015**, 1-7. [[Crossref](#)]
62. Jae-Young Ahn, Hae-Ryun Park, Kiwon Lee, Sooyoun Kwon, Soyeong Kim, Jihye Yang, Kyung-Hee Song, Youngmi Lee. 2015. The effect of providing nutritional information about fast-food restaurant menus on parents' meal choices for their children. *Nutrition Research and Practice* **9**:6, 667. [[Crossref](#)]
63. Sara N. Bleich, Colleen L. Barry, Tiffany L. Gary-Webb, Bradley J. Herring. 2014. Reducing Sugar-Sweetened Beverage Consumption by Providing Caloric Information: How Black Adolescents Alter Their Purchases and Whether the Effects Persist. *American Journal of Public Health* **104**:12, 2417-2424. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)] [[PDF Plus](#)] [[Supplemental Material](#)]

64. Avni M. Shah, James R. Bettman, Peter A. Ubel, Punam Anand Keller, Julie A. Edell. 2014. Surcharges Plus Unhealthy Labels Reduce Demand for Unhealthy Menu Items. *Journal of Marketing Research* 51:6, 773-789. [[Crossref](#)]
65. Ryall Carroll, Beth Vallen. 2014. Compromise and attraction effects in food choice. *International Journal of Consumer Studies* 38:6, 636-641. [[Crossref](#)]
66. ANDREA H. TANGARI, SCOT BURTON, CASSANDRA DAVIS. 2014. Do They Have Your Number? Understanding the Moderating Role of Format Effects and Consumer Numeracy for Quantitative Front-of-Package Nutrition Claims. *Journal of Consumer Affairs* 48:3, 620-633. [[Crossref](#)]
67. Susan E. Sinclair, Marcia Cooper, Elizabeth D. Mansfield. 2014. The Influence of Menu Labeling on Calories Selected or Consumed: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics* 114:9, 1375-1388.e15. [[Crossref](#)]
68. H. Wethington, L. M. Maynard, C. Haltiwanger, H. M. Blanck. 2014. Use of calorie information at fast-food and chain restaurants among US Adults, 2009. *Journal of Public Health* 36:3, 490-496. [[Crossref](#)]
69. Lyndal Wellard, Kathy Chapman, Luke Wolfenden, Pennie Dodds, Clare Hughes, John Wiggers. 2014. Who is responsible for selecting children's fast food meals, and what impact does this have on energy content of the selected meals?. *Nutrition & Dietetics* 71:3, 172-177. [[Crossref](#)]
70. Christopher L. Newman, Elizabeth Howlett, Scot Burton. 2014. Implications of fast food restaurant concentration for preschool-aged childhood obesity. *Journal of Business Research* 67:8, 1573-1580. [[Crossref](#)]
71. . Let the Show Commence: On the Start of the Perfect Meal 37-69. [[Crossref](#)]
72. . Tastes Great, But What do We Call It? The Art and Science of Food Description 71-107. [[Crossref](#)]
73. Kelly Mayfield, Liang (Rebecca) Tang, Robert Bosselman. 2014. Nutrition Labeling for Restaurant Menu Items: College Students' Preferences for Nutrition Information and Its Influence on Purchase Intention. *Journal of Quality Assurance in Hospitality & Tourism* 15:3, 310-325. [[Crossref](#)]
74. Blair Kidwell, Jonathan Hasford. 2014. Emotional Ability and Nonverbal Communication. *Psychology & Marketing* 31:7, 526-538. [[Crossref](#)]
75. Christina A. Roberto, Jennifer L. Pomeranz, Jennifer O. Fisher. 2014. The need for public policies to promote healthier food consumption: A comment on Wansink and Chandon (2014). *Journal of Consumer Psychology* 24:3, 438-445. [[Crossref](#)]
76. C A Roberto, N Khandpur. 2014. Improving the design of nutrition labels to promote healthier food choices and reasonable portion sizes. *International Journal of Obesity* 38:S1, S25-S33. [[Crossref](#)]
77. Sun-Joo Kim, Meehee Cho. 2014. Survey Study: How Customer Attributes and Menu Selection Criteria are Related to Customer Support for Menu Labeling. *Journal of the Korean Society of Food Culture* 29:3, 231-239. [[Crossref](#)]
78. Qi Zhang, Shiyong Liu, Ruicui Liu, Hong Xue, Youfa Wang. 2014. Food Policy Approaches to Obesity Prevention: An International Perspective. *Current Obesity Reports* 3:2, 171-182. [[Crossref](#)]
79. BRENNA ELLISON, JAYSON L. LUSK, DAVID DAVIS. 2014. THE IMPACT OF RESTAURANT CALORIE LABELS ON FOOD CHOICE: RESULTS FROM A FIELD EXPERIMENT. *Economic Inquiry* 52:2, 666-681. [[Crossref](#)]
80. P. J. Liu, J. Wisdom, C. A. Roberto, L. J. Liu, P. A. Ubel. 2014. Using Behavioral Economics to Design More Effective Food Policies to Address Obesity. *Applied Economic Perspectives and Policy* 36:1, 6-24. [[Crossref](#)]
81. SCOT BURTON, ANDREA H. TANGARI, ELIZABETH HOWLETT, ANNA M. TURRI. 2014. How the Perceived Healthfulness of Restaurant Menu Items Influences Sodium and Calorie Misperceptions: Implications for Nutrition Disclosures in Chain Restaurants. *Journal of Consumer Affairs* 48:1, 62-95. [[Crossref](#)]
82. Kiwon Lee, Martha Conklin, David A. Cranage, Seoki Lee. 2014. The role of perceived corporate social responsibility on providing healthful foods and nutrition information with health-consciousness as a moderator. *International Journal of Hospitality Management* 37, 29-37. [[Crossref](#)]
83. Jessica Lee Levings, Janelle Peralez Gunn. 2014. From Menu to Mouth: Opportunities for Sodium Reduction in Restaurants. *Preventing Chronic Disease* 11. . [[Crossref](#)]
84. Michael E. Holmstrup, Amy J. Bidwell, Timothy J. Fairchild. 2014. Body Image Does Not Predict Caloric Estimation Accuracy. *Topics in Clinical Nutrition* 29:1, 15-21. [[Crossref](#)]
85. Eun-Hee Seo. 2014. A Study on Food Habits and Nutrient Intakes according to BMI in Food and Nutrition Major and Non-major Female Students in Kyungnam University. *Korean Journal of Community Nutrition* 19:4, 297. [[Crossref](#)]
86. Amy H. Auchincloss, Giridhar G. Mallya, Beth L. Leonberg, Andrew Ricchezza, Karen Glanz, Donald F. Schwarz. 2013. Customer Responses to Mandatory Menu Labeling at Full-Service Restaurants. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine* 45:6, 710-719. [[Crossref](#)]

87. Mary G. Roseman, Kimberly Mathe-Souleik, Joseph A. Higgins. 2013. Relationships among grocery nutrition label users and consumers' attitudes and behavior toward restaurant menu labeling. *Appetite* 71, 274-278. [[Crossref](#)]
88. Eojina Kim, Sunny Ham, Il Sun Yang, Jeong Gil Choi. 2013. The roles of attitude, subjective norm, and perceived behavioral control in the formation of consumers' behavioral intentions to read menu labels in the restaurant industry. *International Journal of Hospitality Management* 35, 203-213. [[Crossref](#)]
89. David Hammond, Samantha Goodman, Rhona Hanning, Samantha Daniel. 2013. A randomized trial of calorie labeling on menus. *Preventive Medicine* 57:6, 860-866. [[Crossref](#)]
90. Amy H Auchincloss, Candace Young, Andrea L Davis, Sara Wasson, Mariana Chilton, Vanesa Karamanian. 2013. Barriers and facilitators of consumer use of nutrition labels at sit-down restaurant chains. *Public Health Nutrition* 16:12, 2138-2145. [[Crossref](#)]
91. Simone Pettigrew, Michael Rosenberg, Renee Ferguson. 2013. Consumers' (in)ability to estimate the energy content of unhealthy foods. *Nutrition & Dietetics* 70:4, 307-311. [[Crossref](#)]
92. Jocelyn Pang, David Hammond. 2013. Efficacy and Consumer Preferences for Different Approaches to Calorie Labeling on Menus. *Journal of Nutrition Education and Behavior* 45:6, 669-675. [[Crossref](#)]
93. Lillian Sonnenberg, Emily Gelsomin, Douglas E. Levy, Jason Riis, Susan Barracough, Anne N. Thorndike. 2013. A traffic light food labeling intervention increases consumer awareness of health and healthy choices at the point-of-purchase. *Preventive Medicine* 57:4, 253-257. [[Crossref](#)]
94. Jung-Hwa Choi, Na-Young Yi. 2013. The Study of Knowledge, Attitudes, and Behaviors of University Students Regarding Nutritional Labeling. *The Korean Journal of Food And Nutrition* 26:3, 391-397. [[Crossref](#)]
95. Christina A. Roberto, Ann F. Haynos, Marlene B. Schwartz, Kelly D. Brownell, Marney A. White. 2013. Calorie estimation accuracy and menu labeling perceptions among individuals with and without binge eating and/or purging disorders. *Eating and Weight Disorders - Studies on Anorexia, Bulimia and Obesity* 18:3, 255-261. [[Crossref](#)]
96. Sang Hee Park, Hae Jin Yoon, Soo Hyun Cho, Curtis P. Haugtvedt. 2013. Assessing the Provision of Nutritional Information on Quick Service Restaurant Menu Item Choices for College Students. *Journal of Foodservice Business Research* 16:4, 329-346. [[Crossref](#)]
97. W. B. Traill, M. Mazzocchi, B. Niedzwiedzka, B. Shankar, J. Wills. 2013. The EATWELL project: Recommendations for healthy eating policy interventions across Europe. *Nutrition Bulletin* 38:3, 352-357. [[Crossref](#)]
98. Belinda Morley, Maree Scully, Jane Martin, Philippa Niven, Helen Dixon, Melanie Wakefield. 2013. What types of nutrition menu labelling lead consumers to select less energy-dense fast food? An experimental study. *Appetite* 67, 8-15. [[Crossref](#)]
99. Melissa G. Bubltz, Laura A. Peracchio, Alan R. Andreasen, Jeremy Kees, Blair Kidwell, Elizabeth Gelfand Miller, Carol M. Motley, Paula C. Peter, Priyali Rajagopal, Maura L. Scott, Beth Vallen. 2013. Promoting positive change: Advancing the food well-being paradigm. *Journal of Business Research* 66:8, 1211-1218. [[Crossref](#)]
100. Maryam Fotouhinia-Yepes. 2013. Menu Calorie Labelling in a Fine Dining Restaurant: Will it Make a Difference?. *Journal of Quality Assurance in Hospitality & Tourism* 14:3, 281-293. [[Crossref](#)]
101. James W. Krieger, Nadine L. Chan, Brian E. Saelens, Myduc L. Ta, David Solet, David W. Fleming. 2013. Menu Labeling Regulations and Calories Purchased at Chain Restaurants. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine* 44:6, 595-604. [[Crossref](#)]
102. Wei Wei, Li Miao. 2013. Effects of calorie information disclosure on consumers' food choices at restaurants. *International Journal of Hospitality Management* 33, 106-117. [[Crossref](#)]
103. Carmen R. Nevarez, Mariah S. Lafleur, Liz U. Schwarte, Beth Rodin, Pri de Silva, Sarah E. Samuels. 2013. Salud Tiene Sabor. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine* 44:3, S186-S192. [[Crossref](#)]
104. P. Chandon. 2013. How Package Design and Packaged-based Marketing Claims Lead to Overeating. *Applied Economic Perspectives and Policy* 35:1, 7-31. [[Crossref](#)]
105. Esther K. Papies, Harm Veling. 2013. Healthy dining. Subtle diet reminders at the point of purchase increase low-calorie food choices among both chronic and current dieters. *Appetite* 61, 1-7. [[Crossref](#)]
106. Lora E. Burke, Rachel A. Froehlich, Yaguang Zheng, Karen Glanz. Current Theoretical Bases for Nutrition Intervention and Their Uses 141-155. [[Crossref](#)]
107. Michael E. Holmstrup, Kay Stearns-Bruening, Jeffrey Rozelle. 2013. Quantifying Accurate Calorie Estimation Using the "Think Aloud" Method. *Journal of Nutrition Education and Behavior* 45:1, 77-81. [[Crossref](#)]
108. Brenna Ellison, Jayson L. Lusk, David Davis. 2013. Looking at the label and beyond: the effects of calorie labels, health consciousness, and demographics on caloric intake in restaurants. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity* 10:1, 21. [[Crossref](#)]

109. Linda Thunström, Jonas Nordström. 2013. The Impact of Meal Attributes and Nudging on Healthy Meal Consumption. *Modern Economy* 04:10, 1-8. [[Crossref](#)]
110. Peggy J. Liu, Christina A. Roberto, Linda J. Liu, Kelly D. Brownell. 2012. A test of different menu labeling presentations. *Appetite* 59:3, 770-777. [[Crossref](#)]
111. Christine Hoefkens, Prakashan Chellattan Veetil, Guido Van Huylenbroeck, John Van Camp, Wim Verbeke. 2012. What nutrition label to use in a catering environment? A discrete choice experiment. *Food Policy* 37:6, 741-750. [[Crossref](#)]
112. Hae Jin Yoon, Thomas George. 2012. Nutritional information disclosure on the menu: Focusing on the roles of menu context, nutritional knowledge and motivation. *International Journal of Hospitality Management* 31:4, 1187-1194. [[Crossref](#)]
113. Scot Burton, Jeremy Kees. 2012. Flies in the Ointment? Addressing Potential Impediments to Population-Based Health Benefits of Restaurant Menu Labeling Initiatives. *Journal of Public Policy & Marketing* 31:2, 232-239. [[Crossref](#)]
114. Angela Hilmers, David C. Hilmers, Jayna Dave. 2012. Neighborhood Disparities in Access to Healthy Foods and Their Effects on Environmental Justice. *American Journal of Public Health* 102:9, 1644-1654. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)] [[PDF Plus](#)]
115. Cynthia Gordon, Roger Hayes. 2012. Counting Calories: Resident Perspectives on Calorie Labeling in New York City. *Journal of Nutrition Education and Behavior* 44:5, 454-458. [[Crossref](#)]
116. Sang-Hee Park, Soo-Hyun Cho, Hae-Jin Yoon. 2012. The Relationship between Future Orientation, Regulatory Focus, and Need for Cognition and Healthy Menu Choices. *International Journal of Human Ecology* 13:1, 171-181. [[Crossref](#)]
117. Esther K. Papies. 2012. Goal Priming in Dieters: Recent Insights and Applications. *Current Obesity Reports* 1:2, 99-105. [[Crossref](#)]
118. Elizabeth Howlett, Scot Burton, Andrea Heintz Tangari, My Bui. 2012. Hold the Salt! Effects of Sodium Information Provision, Sodium Content, and Hypertension on Perceived Cardiovascular Disease Risk and Purchase Intentions. *Journal of Public Policy & Marketing* 31:1, 4-18. [[Crossref](#)]
119. Sara N. Bleich, Bradley J. Herring, Desmond D. Flagg, Tiffany L. Gary-Webb. 2012. Reduction in Purchases of Sugar-Sweetened Beverages Among Low-Income Black Adolescents After Exposure to Caloric Information. *American Journal of Public Health* 102:2, 329-335. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)] [[PDF Plus](#)]
120. Ashmita Chand, Helen Eyles, Cliona Ni Mhurchu. 2012. Availability and accessibility of healthier options and nutrition information at New Zealand fast food restaurants. *Appetite* 58:1, 227-233. [[Crossref](#)]
121. P. Gomez, A.-E. Le Minous. 2012. L'influence du format de l'étiquetage sur l'utilisation et la compréhension de l'information nutritionnelle : résultats d'une expérimentation menée en restauration collective. *Revue d'Épidémiologie et de Santé Publique* 60:1, 9-18. [[Crossref](#)]
122. Kiwon Lee, Martha Conklin, Peter Bordi. 2012. Predicting Caregiver Behaviors Toward Restaurants Providing Healthful Children's Menus With Nutrition Information. *Topics in Clinical Nutrition* 27:2, 95-104. [[Crossref](#)]
123. Christine Hoefkens, Zuzanna Pieniak, John Van Camp, Wim Verbeke. 2012. Explaining the effects of a point-of-purchase nutrition-information intervention in university canteens: a structural equation modelling analysis. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity* 9:1, 111. [[Crossref](#)]
124. Jee-Young Yeon, Eun-Young Kim. 2012. A Study of Glycemic Index, Glycemic Load and Food Sources according to Body Mass Index in Female College Students. *Korean Journal of Community Nutrition* 17:4, 429. [[Crossref](#)]
125. S. MacKay. 2011. Legislative solutions to unhealthy eating and obesity in Australia. *Public Health* 125:12, 896-904. [[Crossref](#)]
126. Michael A. McDaniel, Sven Kepes, George C. Banks. 2011. The Uniform Guidelines Are a Detriment to the Field of Personnel Selection. *Industrial and Organizational Psychology* 4:04, 494-514. [[Crossref](#)]
127. Pooja S. Tandon, Chuan Zhou, Nadine L. Chan, Paula Lozano, Sarah C. Couch, Karen Glanz, James Krieger, Brian E. Saelens. 2011. The Impact of Menu Labeling on Fast-Food Purchases for Children and Parents. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine* 41:4, 434-438. [[Crossref](#)]
128. Brian Elbel. 2011. Consumer Estimation of Recommended and Actual Calories at Fast Food Restaurants. *Obesity* 19:10, 1971-1978. [[Crossref](#)]
129. Sherri Nordstrom Stastny, Alexa Evenson, Arupendra Mozumdar. 2011. Effect of Nutrition Facts Panel and Ingredient Declaration on Customer Satisfaction and Nutrition Perceptions in a Table-Service Restaurant at Midday Meal. *Journal of Foodservice Business Research* 14:4, 310-333. [[Crossref](#)]
130. Kristin Kiesel, Jill J. McCluskey, Sofia B. Villas-Boas. 2011. Nutritional Labeling and Consumer Choices. *Annual Review of Resource Economics* 3:1, 141-158. [[Crossref](#)]
131. Christine Hoefkens, Carl Lachat, Patrick Kolsteren, John Van Camp, Wim Verbeke. 2011. Posting point-of-purchase nutrition information in university canteens does not influence meal choice and nutrient intake. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 94:2, 562-570. [[Crossref](#)]

132. Karen Stein. 2011. A National Approach to Restaurant Menu Labeling: The Patient Protection and Affordable Health Care Act, Section 4205. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association* 111:5, S19-S27. [[Crossref](#)]
133. Karen Stein. 2011. Nutrition beyond the Numbers: Counseling Clients on Nutrient Value Interpretation. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association* 111:5, S28-S30. [[Crossref](#)]
134. Shilpa Sharma, Ashwini Wagle, Kathryn Sucher, Nancy Bugwadia. 2011. Impact of Point of Selection Nutrition Information on Meal Choices at a Table-Service Restaurant. *Journal of Foodservice Business Research* 14:2, 146-161. [[Crossref](#)]
135. Janneke CAH Giesen, Collin R Payne, Remco C Havermans, Anita Jansen. 2011. Exploring how calorie information and taxes on high-calorie foods influence lunch decisions. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 93:4, 689-694. [[Crossref](#)]
136. Kenneth Bates, Scot Burton, Kyle Huggins, Elizabeth Howlett. 2011. Battling the bulge: menu board calorie legislation and its potential impact on meal repurchase intentions. *Journal of Consumer Marketing* 28:2, 104-113. [[Crossref](#)]
137. Marjorie R. Freedman. 2011. Point-of-Selection Nutrition Information Influences Choice of Portion Size in an All-You-Can-Eat University Dining Hall. *Journal of Foodservice Business Research* 14:1, 86-98. [[Crossref](#)]
138. Eric A. Finkelstein, Kiersten L. Strombotne, Nadine L. Chan, James Krieger. 2011. Mandatory Menu Labeling in One Fast-Food Chain in King County, Washington. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine* 40:2, 122-127. [[Crossref](#)]
139. John W. Britt, Kirsten Frandsen, Kirsten Leng, Diane Evans, Elizabeth Pulos. 2011. Feasibility of Voluntary Menu Labeling Among Locally Owned Restaurants. *Health Promotion Practice* 12:1, 18-24. [[Crossref](#)]
140. Tamara Dumanovsky, Christina Y. Huang, Mary T. Bassett, Lynn D. Silver. 2010. Consumer Awareness of Fast-Food Calorie Information in New York City After Implementation of a Menu Labeling Regulation. *American Journal of Public Health* 100:12, 2520-2525. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)] [[PDF Plus](#)]
141. Karen Stein. 2010. Nutrition beyond the Numbers: Counseling Clients on Nutrient Value Interpretation. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association* 110:12, 1800-1803. [[Crossref](#)]
142. Sara N Bleich, Keshia M Pollack. 2010. The publics' understanding of daily caloric recommendations and their perceptions of calorie posting in chain restaurants. *BMC Public Health* 10:1. . [[Crossref](#)]
143. Roger S Magnusson. 2010. Obesity prevention and personal responsibility: the case of front-of-pack food labelling in Australia. *BMC Public Health* 10:1. . [[Crossref](#)]
144. Cathleen S. Jones. 2010. Encouraging Healthy Eating at Restaurants: More Themes Uncovered Through Focus Group Research. *Services Marketing Quarterly* 31:4, 448-465. [[Crossref](#)]
145. Karen Stein. 2010. A National Approach to Restaurant Menu Labeling: The Patient Protection and Affordable Health Care Act, Section 4205. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association* 110:9, 1280-1289. [[Crossref](#)]
146. Christina Huang, Tamara Dumanovsky. 2010. A Brief Overview of New York City's Calorie Labeling Regulation and Evaluation. *Nutrition Today* 45:5, 226-228. [[Crossref](#)]
147. ANDREA HEINTZ TANGARI, SCOT BURTON, ELIZABETH HOWLETT, YOON-NA CHO, ANASTASIA THYROFF. 2010. Weighing in on Fast Food Consumption: The Effects of Meal and Calorie Disclosures on Consumer Fast Food Evaluations. *Journal of Consumer Affairs* 44:3, 431-462. [[Crossref](#)]
148. Cathleen S. Jones. 2010. Encouraging Healthy Eating at Restaurants: Themes Uncovered Through Focus Group Research. *Services Marketing Quarterly* 31:3, 334-347. [[Crossref](#)]
149. Elizabeth Pulos, Kirsten Leng. 2010. Evaluation of a Voluntary Menu-Labeling Program in Full-Service Restaurants. *American Journal of Public Health* 100:6, 1035-1039. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)] [[PDF Plus](#)]
150. Jennifer Piron, Lisa V Smith, Paul Simon, Patricia L Cummings, Tony Kuo. 2010. Knowledge, attitudes and potential response to menu labelling in an urban public health clinic population. *Public Health Nutrition* 13:04, 550. [[Crossref](#)]
151. Thomas R. Frieden, William Dietz, Janet Collins. 2010. Reducing Childhood Obesity Through Policy Change: Acting Now To Prevent Obesity. *Health Affairs* 29:3, 357-363. [[Crossref](#)]
152. A. H. Jahren, B. A. Schubert. 2010. Corn content of French fry oil from national chain vs. small business restaurants. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 107:5, 2099-2101. [[Crossref](#)]
153. Christina A. Roberto, Peter D. Larsen, Henry Agnew, Jenny Baik, Kelly D. Brownell. 2010. Evaluating the Impact of Menu Labeling on Food Choices and Intake. *American Journal of Public Health* 100:2, 312-318. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)] [[PDF Plus](#)]
154. D. Mackison, A. S. Anderson, W. L. Wrieden. 2010. Consumer preference for energy, fat, salt and ingredient information in catering outlets. *Proceedings of the Nutrition Society* 69:OCE1. . [[Crossref](#)]

155. Kwang Il Kwon, Sung Won Yoon, So Jin Kim, Hani Kang, Hae Na Kim, Jee Young Kim, Seo Young Kim, Killye Kim, Jun Hyung Lee, Sun Mi Jung, So Won Ock, Eun Ju Lee, Jong Wook Kim, Myung Chul Kim, Hye Kyung Park. 2010. A Survey on Customers' Perceptions of Nutrition Labeling for Processed Food and Restaurant Meal. *The Korean Journal of Nutrition* 43:2, 181. [[Crossref](#)]
156. Christina A. Roberto, Marlene B. Schwartz, Kelly D. Brownell. 2009. Rationale and Evidence for Menu-Labeling Legislation. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine* 37:6, 546-551. [[Crossref](#)]
157. Cathleen S. Jones. 2009. Taking Up Space? How Customers React to Health Information and Health Icons on Restaurant Menus. *Journal of Foodservice Business Research* 12:4, 344-363. [[Crossref](#)]
158. Yong H. Chu, Edward A. Frongillo, Sonya J. Jones, Gail L. Kaye. 2009. Improving Patrons' Meal Selections Through the Use of Point-of-Selection Nutrition Labels. *American Journal of Public Health* 99:11, 2001-2005. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)] [[PDF Plus](#)]
159. Brian Elbel, Rogan Kersh, Victoria L. Brescoll, L. Beth Dixon. 2009. Calorie Labeling And Food Choices: A First Look At The Effects On Low-Income People In New York City. *Health Affairs* 28:6, w1110-w1121. [[Crossref](#)]
160. Elizabeth A. Howlett, Scot Burton, Kenneth Bates, Kyle Huggins. 2009. Coming to a Restaurant Near You? Potential Consumer Responses to Nutrition Information Disclosure on Menus. *Journal of Consumer Research* 36:3, 494-503. [[Crossref](#)]
161. Peter Williams, Coral Colyer. 2009. Nutrition profiling and labelling of healthy or functional meals. *Journal of Foodservice* 20:5, 230-240. [[Crossref](#)]
162. Tony Kuo, Christopher J. Jarosz, Paul Simon, Jonathan E. Fielding. 2009. Menu Labeling as a Potential Strategy for Combating the Obesity Epidemic: A Health Impact Assessment. *American Journal of Public Health* 99:9, 1680-1686. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)] [[PDF Plus](#)]
163. Scot Burton, Elizabeth Howlett, Andrea Heintz Tangari. 2009. Food for Thought: How Will the Nutrition Labeling of Quick Service Restaurant Menu Items Influence Consumers' Product Evaluations, Purchase Intentions, and Choices?. *Journal of Retailing* 85:3, 258-273. [[Crossref](#)]
164. Jane Kolodinsky, Travis William Reynolds, Mark Cannella, David Timmons, Daniel Bromberg. 2009. U.S. Consumer Demand for Restaurant Calorie Information: Targeting Demographic and Behavioral Segments in Labeling Initiatives. *American Journal of Health Promotion* 24:1, 11-14. [[Crossref](#)]
165. Andreas C. Drichoutis, Panagiotis Lazaridis, Rodolfo M. Nayga. 2009. Would consumers value food-away-from-home products with nutritional labels?. *Agribusiness* 25:4, 550-575. [[Crossref](#)]
166. KENNETH BATES, SCOT BURTON, ELIZABETH HOWLETT, KYLE HUGGINS. 2009. The Roles of Gender and Motivation as Moderators of the Effects of Calorie and Nutrient Information Provision on Away-from-Home Foods. *Journal of Consumer Affairs* 43:2, 249-273. [[Crossref](#)]
167. Michelle M. Mello. 2009. New York City's War on Fat. *New England Journal of Medicine* 360:19, 2015-2020. [[Crossref](#)]
168. J. Craig Andrews, Richard G Netemeyer, Scot Burton. 2009. The Nutrition Elite: Do Only the Highest Levels of Caloric Knowledge, Obesity Knowledge, and Motivation Matter in Processing Nutrition Ad Claims and Disclosures?. *Journal of Public Policy & Marketing* 28:1, 41-55. [[Crossref](#)]
169. Helena W. Rodbard, Kathleen M. Fox, Susan Grandy. 2009. Impact of Obesity on Work Productivity and Role Disability in Individuals with and at Risk for Diabetes Mellitus. *American Journal of Health Promotion* 23:5, 353-360. [[Crossref](#)]
170. L.O. Gostin, K.G. Gostin. 2009. A broader liberty: J.S. Mill, paternalism and the public's health. *Public Health* 123:3, 214-221. [[Crossref](#)]
171. Mary A. Gerend. 2009. Does Calorie Information Promote Lower Calorie Fast Food Choices Among College Students?. *Journal of Adolescent Health* 44:1, 84-86. [[Crossref](#)]
172. Shannon Reedy. 2009. An Evidence-Based Review of Obesity and Bariatric Surgery. *The Journal for Nurse Practitioners* 5:1, 22-29. [[Crossref](#)]
173. Kyungeui Hong, Hyojee Joung. 2009. Restaurateur's Willingness to Participate in the Healthy Restaurant Program in Seoul. *The Korean Journal of Nutrition* 42:3, 268. [[Crossref](#)]
174. Jennifer S Creel, Joseph R Sharkey, Alex McIntosh, Jenna Anding, J Charles Huber. 2008. Availability of healthier options in traditional and nontraditional rural fast-food outlets. *BMC Public Health* 8:1. . [[Crossref](#)]
175. Kathryn M. Sharpe, Richard Staelin, Joel Huber. 2008. Using Extremeness Aversion to Fight Obesity: Policy Implications of Context Dependent Demand. *Journal of Consumer Research* 35:3, 406-422. [[Crossref](#)]
176. T. R Frieden, M. T Bassett, L. E Thorpe, T. A Farley. 2008. Public health in New York City, 2002-2007: confronting epidemics of the modern era. *International Journal of Epidemiology* 37:5, 966-977. [[Crossref](#)]

177. Jennifer L. Pomeranz, Kelly D. Brownell. 2008. Legal and Public Health Considerations Affecting the Success, Reach, and Impact of Menu-Labeling Laws. *American Journal of Public Health* **98**:9, 1578-1583. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)] [[PDF Plus](#)]
178. JOSEPH TUROW, MICHAEL HENNESSY, AMY BLEAKLEY. 2008. Consumers' Understanding of Privacy Rules in the Marketplace. *Journal of Consumer Affairs* **42**:3, 411-424. [[Crossref](#)]
179. Mary T. Bassett, Tamara Dumanovsky, Christina Huang, Lynn D. Silver, Candace Young, Cathy Nonas, Thomas D. Matte, Sekai Chideya, Thomas R. Frieden. 2008. Purchasing Behavior and Calorie Information at Fast-Food Chains in New York City, 2007. *American Journal of Public Health* **98**:8, 1457-1459. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)] [[PDF Plus](#)]
180. Michael E. Holmstrup, Kay Stearns-Bruening, Timothy J. Fairchild. 2008. Caloric Estimation Bias of Realistic Meal and Beverage Preparations. *Topics in Clinical Nutrition* **23**:3, 234-243. [[Crossref](#)]
181. Elizabeth Howlett, Scot Burton, John Kozup. 2008. How Modification of the Nutrition Facts Panel Influences Consumers at Risk for Heart Disease: The Case of Trans Fat. *Journal of Public Policy & Marketing* **27**:1, 83-97. [[Crossref](#)]
182. Mary Story, Karen M. Kaphingst, Ramona Robinson-O'Brien, Karen Glanz. 2008. Creating Healthy Food and Eating Environments: Policy and Environmental Approaches. *Annual Review of Public Health* **29**:1, 253-272. [[Crossref](#)]
183. MY BUI, SCOT BURTON, ELIZABETH HOWLETT, JOHN KOZUP. 2008. What Am I Drinking? The Effects of Serving Facts Information on Alcohol Beverage Containers. *Journal of Consumer Affairs* **42**:1, 81-99. [[Crossref](#)]
184. Beverly J. McCabe-Sellers, Catherine Ann Chenard. 2008. Meeting the needs of US dietitians for food composition data. *Journal of Food Composition and Analysis* **21**, S27-S34. [[Crossref](#)]
185. Sonya A Grier, Janell Mensinger, Shirley H Huang, Shiriki K Kumanyika, Nicolas Stettler. 2007. Fast-Food Marketing and Children's Fast-Food Consumption: Exploring Parents' Influences in an Ethnically Diverse Sample. *Journal of Public Policy & Marketing* **26**:2, 221-235. [[Crossref](#)]
186. Diana Bruen, J. Cara Pollock, Kathryn Kelley Zirpolo, Edward T. Vieira, Nancie Herbold. 2007. Marketing nutrition through a corporate intranet*. *Journal of Foodservice* **18**:5, 176-186. [[Crossref](#)]
187. Lan-Hsin Hung, I-Wen Chang, Yaw-Jen Lin, Han-Wei Zhang, Heng-Shuen Chen. A Study of the Electronic Healthy Diet and Nutrition Assessment System Applied in a Nursing Home 64-67. [[Crossref](#)]
188. ELYRIA KEMP, SCOT BURTON, ELIZABETH H. CREYER, TRACY A. SUTER. 2007. When Do Nutrient Content and Nutrient Content Claims Matter? Assessing Consumer Tradeoffs Between Carbohydrates and Fat. *Journal of Consumer Affairs* **41**:1, 47-73. [[Crossref](#)]
189. Susan Okie. 2007. New York to Trans Fats: You're Out!. *New England Journal of Medicine* **356**:20, 2017-2021. [[Crossref](#)]
190. Kim Gorman. 2007. Dining Out: An Automatic Diet Disaster. *Obesity Management* **3**:1, 41-42. [[Crossref](#)]
191. Margo G. Wootan. 2007. Need for and Effectiveness of Menu Labeling. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association* **107**:1, 33-34. [[Crossref](#)]
192. Abhishek Ghai. The Impact of CSR on Consumer Behavior in Bar Service Operation 79-91. [[Crossref](#)]
193. Abhishek Ghai. The Impact of CSR on Consumer Behavior in Bar Service Operation 342-355. [[Crossref](#)]